

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/518,885	12/20/2004	Daniele Bigiavi	FE 6027 (US)	3555	
34872 75	03/09/2006		EXAMINER		
BASELL USA INC.			CHOI, LING SIU		
INTELLECTUA 912 APPLETO	AL PROPERTY N ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ELKTON, MD	21921		1713		
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				11.		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		10/518,885	BIGIAVI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ling-Siu Choi	1713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. sely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 De</u>	ecember 2005.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 25-48 is/are pending in the application	ı .				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 25-48 is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			• •		
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
•	2; Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	d in this National	Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachma=	Nel					
Attachment 1) ☐ Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTC)-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/518,885 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Response and Amendment filed
 December 27, 2005. Claims 1-24 were canceled and claims 25-48 have been added.
 Claims 25-48 are now pending.

Claim Analysis

2. Summary of claim 1:

A lie	A liquid phase process for polymerizing α -olefin to form a polymer that is soluble in a				
liqui	liquid reaction medium, the process comprising				
Α	continuously polymerizing the α -olefin in liquid phase in the presence of a catalyst system based on a transition metal compound				
В	continuously withdrawing from step A a solution of the polymer in the liquid reaction medium				
С	mixing in one or more mixing stages the solution of the polymer in the reaction medium with an organic deactivator having (a) at least a hydroxy group, (b) a boiling point higher than 150°C, and (c) a ratio of the molecular weight (MW) of the organic deactivator to the number of hydroxy groups (n _{OH}) of the organic deactivator between 20 and 100				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102

Application/Control Number: 10/518,885

Art Unit: 1713

that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 25-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hwang et al. (US 4,634,744).

Hwang et al. disclose a method for continuously homopolymerizing ethylene or interpolymerizing ethylene with one or more 1-olefin in a reactor in the presence of a catalyst which comprises a transition metal derivative, wherein the polymer so formed is discharged from the reactor in a molten solution stream and wherein a deactivator comprising ethoxylated hydrocarbylamines of the formula of R'_{3-n}N[(OCH₂CH₂)_mOH]_n is added to the molten polymer solution to deactivate the Ziegler-Natta catalyst (abstract; claim 1). Hwang et al. further disclose that "The polymerization is carried out in a reactor of any convenient type, including stirred autoclave reactors, tubular reactors, or in a series of reactors of either the autoclave or tubular type. The polymerization conditions are selected such that the reaction mixing of monomer, comonomer(if present), and product polymer is homogeneous, i.e. the polymer is soluble in the reaction mixture" (col. 2, lines 59-66) and "liquid diluents, such as liquid alkanes, may be present" (col. 2, lines 67-68). Hwang et al. also disclose that "the selected catalyst deactivator is injected directly into the actively polymerizing molten polymer solution stream at a point in the reactor prior to discharge of the stream from the reactor and priort to degassing of the polymer stream" (col. 3, lines 22-26). Thus, the present claims

Art Unit: 1713

are anticipated by the disclosure of Hwang et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 25-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takayuki et al. (\$,551,509) in view of Naga et al. (US 6,281,302).

Takayuki et al. disclose a process for producing ethylene polymer or ethylene copolymer, comprising the steps of (a) continuously polymerizing ethylene or ethylene and an α-olefin in a reaction mixture at a pressure of at least 300 kg/cm² and a temperature of at least 130°C in the presence of a catalyst composed of a compound of a transition metal of groups IVa and VIa of the Periodic Table and an organometallic compound of a metal of Groups I to III of the Periodic Table and (b) adding a polyalkylene glycol to the reaction mixture to deactivate the catalyst (claim 1).

The difference between the present claim and the disclosure of Takayuki et al. is the requirement of a liquid phase polymerization process instead of a gas phase polymerization process.

Naga et al. disclose a process for olefin polymerization in the presence of a

Application/Control Number: 10/518,885 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

catalyst comprising a transition metal compound of Group IV of the Periodic Table and an organometallic compound of metal of Grooup I, II or XIII of the Periodic Table (claim 1). Naga et al. further disclose that "slurry polymerization or solvent polymerization using an inert hydrocarbon solvent(e.g. propane, pentane, hexane, heptane, octane), liquid phase polymerization using no solvent(bulk polymerization) or gas phase polymerization can also be applied" (col. 9, lines 22-28). Thus, in view of the method to utilize the catalyst, gas phase polymerization is equivalence to and exchangeable with liquid phase polymerization. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize liquid phase polymerization in the disclosure of Takayuki et al. and thereby obtain the present invention.

Response to the Amendment

7. Applicant's Amendment filed on December 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Referring to Hwang et al. (US 4,634,744), Hwang et al. do disclose a continuous liquid polymerization. The supported information is emphasized by the bold letters in the above rejection.

Referring to Naga et al. (US 6,281,302), Naga et al. show that the gas phase polymerization is **equivalent to and exchangeable with** the liquid polymerization. Such equivalence and exchange provide an expectable success as the motivation to incorporate the disclosure of Naga et al. into Takayuki et al. (US 4,551,509).

Application/Control Number: 10/518,885

Art Unit: 1713

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-

1098.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu, can be reach on 571-272-1114.

Li 5 lbor'

LING-SUI CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 3, 2006

Page 6